

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,215	12/29/2000	Andrew Yeoh	042390.P10048	8879
759	90 04/20/2005		EXAM	INER
Michael A. Bernadicou			VU, HUNG K	
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2811	
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026		D. TT. 14. W. TD. 04.00.000	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/751,215	YEOH, ANDREW				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hung Vu	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 January 2005.						
,=	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 22 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 22</u> is/are allowed.						
6) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 12, "the additional metal precipitate hardens" should be changed to "the precipitates harden" for clarity.

In claim 5, line 8, "the additional metal precipitate hardens" should be changed to "the precipitates harden" for clarity.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 3, line 2, the phrase "the additional metal species" lacks of antecedent basis.

In claim 3, line 4, the phrase "the semiconductor wafer surface" lacks of antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2811

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nogami et al. (PN 6,022,808, of record) in view of Besser (PN 6,368,967, of record).

Nogami et al. discloses, as shown in Figures 1-3, a method for forming hardened interconnects comprising:

deposited a metal layer (20) to serve as an interconnect line;

introducing a single metal species or a combination of metal species selected from the group consisting of silver, aluminum, zinc, zirconium, and magnesium to the metal layer [Col. 5, lines 12-32];

heating the deposited metal layer and the introduced metal species [Col. 5, lines 33-55]; performing chemical-mechanical polishing wherein the precipitates harden the deposited metal layer to reduce the rate of the polishing [Col. 6, lines 2-8].

Nogami et al. does not disclose the steps of allowing the heated metal film to cool before performing the chemical-mechanical polishing. However, Besser discloses the steps of allowing the heated metal film to cool before performing the chemical-mechanical polish. Note Figure 7 and Col. 8, lines 52-63 of Besser. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the metal layer of Nogami et al. including the steps of allowing the heated metal film to cool before performing the chemical-mechanical polishing, such as taught by Besser in order to reduce the hydrostatic mechanical stress of the metal film. Note that it is inherent that when allowing the metal layer to cool, the precipitates will be formed to harden the metal layer to reduce the rate of polishing.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 3, Nogami et al. and Besser disclose depositing the metal layer ad the metal species comprises depositing the metal layer and the metal species over an at least one opening in an insulating layer (10).

Regarding claim 4, Nogami et al. and Besser disclose the deposited metal layer is copper.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5, 6 and 22 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2811

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Page 5

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hung K. Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1666. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:00-3:30, alternate Friday 7:00-3:30, Eastern

Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The Central Fax Number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Vu

April 14, 2005

Hung Vu

Primary Examiner